

## REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed on this last August 11, 2005, the claims were rejected under 35USC§112. It is believed that the amendments to claim1 have clarified this to remedy this matter.


A short time after receiving the last Office Action, the applicant's attorney, the undersigned, and the inventor, Mr. Enfield, had an extended conversation with Examiner Fischetti. As the result of that conference, there was filed a Request for Reconsideration, and in that Request there were several proposed revisions to the parent claim 1 of the application.

Following that, there was a further conference with Examiner Fischetti by the applicant's attorney, the undersigned, and the result of that discussion, this Request for Reconsideration is being filed.

It is believed that the claims as presently amended (or possibly with some adjustment to these claims) should be found allowable. If there is any matter which yet needs to be resolved, and if consultation with the Applicant's attorney, the undersigned, would be of value, such consultation would be most welcome. The Applicant's undersigned attorney can normally be reached at the telephone number set forth below. The cooperation of Examiner Fischetti in discussing these issues is greatly appreciated.

Signed at Bellingham, County of Whatcom, State of Washington this December 12, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,  
PAUL S. ENFIELD,

By   
Robert Hughes, Reg. No. 19,304  
Hughes Law Firm, PLLC  
Pacific Meridian Plaza  
4164 Meridian Street, Suite 302  
Bellingham, WA 98226  
(360) 647-1296  
Fax (360) 671-2489